

A CRITERION FOR POSITIVE POLYNOMIALS

FERNANDO CUKIERMAN

June 2004

ABSTRACT. Let F be a homogeneous real polynomial of even degree in any number of variables. We consider the problem of giving explicit conditions on the coefficients so that F is positive definite or positive semi-definite. In this note we produce a necessary condition for positivity and a sufficient condition for non-negativity, in terms of positivity or semi-positivity of a one-variable *characteristic polynomial* of F . Also, we review another well-known sufficient condition.

§1

(1.1) Let F be a homogeneous polynomial of degree d in n variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ with coefficients in a field K . We will denote

$$K(n, d)$$

the K -vector space of all such polynomials. Its dimension is $N = \binom{n-1+d}{d}$.

For $K = \mathbb{R}$, the field of real numbers, we shall say that F is **positive** (resp. **non-negative**), written $F > 0$ (resp. $F \geq 0$), if $F(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n - \{0\}$ (resp. $F(x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$).

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 14Pxx, 14Mxx.

Key words and phrases. Positive polynomial, discriminant, characteristic polynomial.

I warmly thank Emili Bifet, Alicia Dickenstein, Gabriel Taubin and Bernd Sturmfels for several communications. This work was presented at the Séminaire de Géométrie Analytique of the Université de Rennes; I also thank Jorge Vitorio Pereira, Dominique Cerveau, Frank Loray and Michel Coste for their hospitality, interest and useful comments.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ -TEX

We are interested in obtaining conditions on the coefficients of F equivalent to $F > 0$ or to $F \geq 0$. We assume d is even, so that positive polynomials exist.

(1.2) In case $d = 2$ such conditions are given by the well-known Sylvester's criterion:
If $F(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} F_{ij} x_i x_j$ (with $F_{ij} = F_{ji} \in \mathbb{R}$) then

$$F > 0 \text{ if and only if } D_r(F) > 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, n$$

where $D_r(F) = \det (F_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq r}$ is the $r \times r$ principal minor of the $n \times n$ symmetric matrix representing F .

Let us remark that the conditions $F \geq 0$ and $D_r(F) \geq 0, \quad r = 1, \dots, n$ are not equivalent. Actually, $F \geq 0$ is equivalent to

$$D_J(F) \geq 0 \text{ for all } J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$$

where $D_J(F) = \det (F_{ij})_{i, j \in J}$ (see [Ga]).

(1.3) For the case $n = 2$ of binary forms we can use Sylvester's formulation of Sturm's Theorem ([Ga], [De], [P]). To recall this, let $p \in \mathbb{R}[t]$ be a (monic) polynomial of degree d in one variable, over the real numbers. Consider the finite dimensional $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra $A = \mathbb{R}[t]/(p)$ and denote its trace linear form $\text{tr} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. For each $u \in A$ define a quadratic form on A by

$$Q_u(x) = \text{tr}(ux^2)$$

Let $R(p) \subset \mathbb{C}$ be the set of complex roots of p . Denoting sg the signature of a quadratic form, Sylvester's theorem asserts that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}(Q_u) &= \text{card} \{r \in R(p) / u(r) \neq 0\} \\ \text{sg}(Q_u) &= \text{card} \{r \in R(p) \cap \mathbb{R} / u(r) > 0\} - \text{card} \{r \in R(p) \cap \mathbb{R} / u(r) < 0\} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $p(0) \neq 0$ and denote P (resp. N) the number of positive (resp. negative) real roots of p . Choosing $u = 1$, we have that $\text{sg}(Q_1)$ is the number $P + N$ of real roots of p . For $u = t$ we obtain $\text{sg}(Q_t) = P - N$. Hence, $2P = \text{sg}(Q_1) + \text{sg}(Q_t)$. In particular, $P = 0$ (i. e. $p(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$) if and only if $\text{sg}(Q_1) + \text{sg}(Q_t) = 0$, a fact that will be useful later.

(1.4) Let us denote $\nabla(n, d, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C}(n, d)$ the set of singular polynomials of degree d in n variables, over the complex numbers. That is,

$$\nabla(n, d, \mathbb{C}) = \{F \in \mathbb{C}(n, d) \mid \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x) = 0, \forall i, \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}\}$$

It is known (see e.g. [GKZ]) that $\nabla(n, d, \mathbb{C})$ is an irreducible algebraic hypersurface of degree

$$D = n(d-1)^{n-1}$$

defined over the rational numbers. Therefore, there exists a polynomial (unique up to multiplicative constant)

$$\Delta = \Delta(n, d)$$

called the **discriminant**, such that

$$\nabla(n, d, \mathbb{C}) = \{F \in \mathbb{C}(n, d) \mid \Delta(F) = 0\}$$

More precisely, writing a general polynomial

$$F = \sum_{|\lambda|=d} F_\lambda x^\lambda \in K(n, d)$$

where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $|\lambda| = \sum_i \lambda_i$, $x^\lambda = \prod_i x_i^{\lambda_i}$ and $F_\lambda \in K$, so that $T_\lambda(F) = F_\lambda$ are coordinate functions on the vector space $K(n, d)$, we know Δ is a homogeneous polynomial in variables T_λ , of degree $D = n(d-1)^{n-1}$ and with rational coefficients. In other terms, Δ is an element of the D -th symmetric power of the rational vector space dual to $\mathbb{Q}(n, d)$.

We shall normalize Δ so that $\Delta(J) = 1$ where $J \in \mathbb{C}(n, d) - \nabla(n, d, \mathbb{C})$ is the polynomial

$$J(x) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} x_j^d$$

(1.5) Restricting to the real numbers, we denote

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla &= \nabla(n, d, \mathbb{R}) = \nabla(n, d, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{R}(n, d) \\ &= \{F \in \mathbb{R}(n, d) \mid \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x) = 0, \forall i, \text{ for some } x \in \mathbb{C}^n, x \neq 0\} \\ &= \{F \in \mathbb{R}(n, d) \mid \Delta(F) = 0\} \end{aligned}$$

the set of real polynomials which have a singular point, real or complex.

(1.6) Let us denote

$$\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}(n, d) = \{F \in \mathbb{R}(n, d) / F > 0\}$$

the set of all positive polynomials. It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{P}$ is an open convex cone in the vector space $\mathbb{R}(n, d)$. Here by "cone" we mean a set which is stable under multiplication by $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. It is also easy to see that the closure of $\mathcal{P}$ (in the usual topology of $\mathbb{R}(n, d)$) is the closed convex cone

$$\bar{\mathcal{P}} = \bar{\mathcal{P}}(n, d) = \{F \in \mathbb{R}(n, d) / F \geq 0\}$$

With slight abuse of notation we write $\Delta : \mathbb{R}(n, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the polynomial function induced by the polynomial Δ .

(1.7) **Theorem:** $\mathcal{P} - \nabla$ is connected.

Proof: Let $F \in \mathcal{P} \cap \nabla$ be a positive singular polynomial. Let $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$ be a singular point of F . Since $F(x) = 0$ and $F > 0$ it follows that $x \in \mathbb{C}^n - \mathbb{R}^n$. The complex conjugate $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is also a singular point of F , because F has real coefficients. It follows that F has two distinct singular points in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

The idea now is that polynomials with at least two singular points occur in codimension at least two and hence they do not disconnect $\mathcal{P}$. To prove this, let us denote

$$\nabla_2(n, d, \mathbb{C}) = \text{closure}\{F \in \mathbb{C}(n, d) / \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x) = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(y) = 0, \forall i, \text{ for some } x \neq y \in \mathbb{C}^n - \{0\}\}$$

the Zariski closure of the set of complex polynomials with at least two singular points. By the usual incidence correspondence argument, $\nabla_2(n, d, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C}(n, d)$ is a complex algebraic variety of codimension two. Let us denote its real points

$$\nabla_2 = \nabla_2(n, d, \mathbb{R}) = \nabla_2(n, d, \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathbb{R}(n, d)$$

We claim that $\mathcal{P} \cap \nabla = \mathcal{P} \cap \nabla_2$. The inclusion $\subset$ was observed just above, while the other one is clear since $\nabla_2 \subset \nabla$.

Then $\mathcal{P} \cap \nabla \subset \mathbb{R}(n, d)$ is an open subset of a real algebraic variety ∇_2 of real dimension $N - 2$, where $N = \binom{n-1+d}{d} = \dim \mathbb{R}(n, d)$.

The Theorem now follows from (1.8) below, which is surely a well-known statement, but I shall give a proof because I could not find a suitable reference.

(1.8) **Proposition:** Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a connected open set, $Y \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ a real algebraic variety of dimension d and denote $X = P \cap Y$. Then

a) For any family of supports h and every sheaf $\mathcal{L}$ of abelian groups, $H_h^j(X, \mathcal{L}) = 0$ for all $j > d$ (i. e. the h -cohomological dimension of X is $\leq d$).

In particular, $H_c^j(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $j > d$, where H_c denotes cohomology with compact supports.

b) If $d \leq N - 2$ then $P - X$ is connected.

Proof: We refer to [Go] for general definitions.

To prove a), let us denote $S \subset Y$ the set of singular points, $T \subset Y$ the union of the irreducible components of Y of dimension $< d$ and $A = P \cap (S \cup T)$, which is a closed subset of X . Let us remark that

i) $S \cup T \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ is a real algebraic variety of dimension $< d$.

ii) $Y - (S \cup T)$ is a smooth manifold of dimension d . Then, its open subset $X - A$ is also a smooth manifold of dimension d .

Now we apply to (X, A) the theory of [Go], (4.10). From the exact sequence of sheaves on X

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{X-A} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_A \rightarrow 0$$

one obtains a long exact sequence [Go], (4.10.1) of cohomology with supports in h

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{h|X-A}^j(X - A, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H_h^j(X, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H_{h|A}^j(A, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow H_{h|X-A}^{j+1}(X - A, \mathcal{L}) \rightarrow \cdots$$

Let $j > d$. By induction on d and due to i) we know that $H_{h|A}^j(A, \mathcal{L}) = 0$. Since a smooth manifold of dimension d has h -cohomological dimension d ([Go], (4.14.1) and (5.12)) we have $H_{h|X-A}^j(X - A, \mathcal{L}) = 0$. From the exact sequence it follows that $H_h^j(X, \mathcal{L}) = 0$, as claimed.

In particular, when $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Z}_X$ is the constant sheaf $\mathbb{Z}$ on X and h is the family of compact subsets of X , we obtain the statement about cohomology with compact supports.

To prove b) we apply the Poincare-Lefschetz duality theorem ([Do], (7.13)) for the N -dimensional manifold P and the closed set $X \subset P$. This Theorem implies that

$$H_c^{N-1}(X) = H_1(P, P - X)$$

Combining with a) we obtain $H_1(P, P - X) = 0$ and from the homology sequence of the pair $(P, P - X)$ we deduce $H_0(P - X) = H_0(P) = \mathbb{Z}$, Q.E.D.

Now we deduce a Corollary of importance for our present purpose.

(1.9) **Corollary:** Let $F \in \mathbb{R}(n, d)$. If $F \geq 0$ then $\Delta(F) \geq 0$.

Proof: We want to show that Δ is non-negative on $\bar{\mathcal{P}}$. It is clear that

$$\mathcal{P} - \nabla \subset (\Delta > 0) \cup (\Delta < 0)$$

where we denote $(\Delta > 0) = \{F / \Delta(F) > 0\}$. By (1.7), $\mathcal{P} - \nabla$ is connected and the polynomial J defined in (1.4) above belongs to the intersection $(\mathcal{P} - \nabla) \cap (\Delta > 0)$, so we obtain $\mathcal{P} - \nabla \subset (\Delta > 0)$, that is, $\mathcal{P} \subset (\Delta \geq 0)$, and by continuity $\bar{\mathcal{P}} \subset (\Delta \geq 0)$, as we wanted to prove.

(1.10) **Example:** Let us consider the case $(n, d) = (2, 4)$ of binary quartics, written $F = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq 4} F_i x_1^i x_2^{4-i}$. Over the complex numbers we have $\nabla(2, 4, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathbb{C}(2, 4)$ of respective complex dimensions 4 and 5. Their real parts $\nabla \subset \mathbb{R}(2, 4)$ have real dimensions 4 and 5, but ∇ contains the open set $\mathcal{P} \cap \nabla$ which has dimension 3 and is a "component" of ∇ in the sense that it is not contained in the closure of $\nabla - \mathcal{P} \cap \nabla$, with respect to the usual (not Zariski) topology of $\mathbb{R}(2, 4)$.

More explicitly, $\mathcal{P} \cap \nabla$ consists of the quartics with two double complex roots, i. e. of the form $F = (ax_1^2 + bx_1x_2 + cx_2^2)^2$ with $b^2 - 4ac < 0$ (so we see again it has dimension 3) and it is clear that these are not limit of real quartics with only one double root.

Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}(2, 4)$ denote the 3-dimensional subspace of those F 's with $F_4 = 1$ and $F_3 = 0$. We refer to [GKZ], page 381, for a drawing of the two-dimensional real algebraic variety $\nabla \cap V$, but let's point out that $(\mathcal{P} \cap \nabla) \cap V$ (curve of quartics of the form $(x_1^2 + cx_2^2)^2$ with $c > 0$) is lacking in that picture and should be added as a curve pointing out and going through the point $F = x_1^4$ marked "quadruple root".

(1.11) **Definition:** For $F \in K(n, d)$ we define the **characteristic polynomial** of F (with respect to J)

$$\chi(F; J)(t) = \Delta(F + tJ) \in K[t]$$

where $J(x) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} x_j^d$, as in (1.4).

(1.12) **Remarks:**

- a) The definition depends on the choice of J , but for simplicity we may write $\chi(F)$ instead of $\chi(F; J)$. In fact, our choice of a positive J is rather arbitrary.
- b) Since J and Δ have rational coefficients it follows that $\chi(F)$ has coefficients in K if F has coefficients in K .
- c) $\chi(F)$ is a polynomial in t of degree D as in (1.4), and we may write

$$\chi(F)(t) = \sum_{0 \leq j \leq D} \Delta_j(F) t^j$$

where $\Delta_0 = \Delta$ and Δ_j is a homogeneous polynomial in the coefficients of F , of degree $D - j$ for $j = 0, \dots, D$. Also, by our normalization of Δ (see (1.4)) it follows that $\chi(F)$ is monic.

d) The roots of $\chi(F)$ are the values of t such that $F + tJ$ is singular, that is, they parametrize the intersections of the discriminant hypersurface ∇ with the pencil spanned by F and J . These roots may have the right to be called "eigenvalues of F " (with respect to J).

The next Proposition gives a necessary condition for non-negativity.

(1.13) **Proposition:** Let $F \in \mathbb{R}(n, d)$. If $F \geq 0$ then $\chi(F)(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof: Since $J \geq 0$, for $F \geq 0$ and $t \geq 0$ we have $F + tJ \geq 0$. By Corollary (1.9), $\chi(F)(t) = \Delta(F + tJ) \geq 0$, as wanted.

(1.14) **Remarks:**

- a) The Proposition gives a necessary condition for non-negativity, in principle explicitly computable via applying the Sylvester criterion (1.3) to the characteristic polynomial $\chi(F)$.
- b) As kindly pointed out to us by Jiawang Nie (Berkeley), the converse to (1.13) fails for $d = 2$.
- c) Regarding the choice of J mentioned in (1.12)a), let us fix a finite number of positive polynomials $J_1, \dots, J_m \in \mathbb{R}(n, d)$. As in (1.13), if $F \geq 0$ then $\chi(F; J_i)(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$

and all $i = 1, \dots, m$. It would be interesting to know if this necessary condition for non-negativity is also sufficient, for some choice of m and $J_1, \dots, J_m$.

d) Similarly, for $F \in \mathbb{R}(n, d)$ define a generalized characteristic polynomial

$$\chi(F; J_1, \dots, J_m)(t_1, \dots, t_m) = \Delta(F + \sum_{i=1}^m t_i J_i) \in \mathbb{R}[t_1, \dots, t_m]$$

The same proof of (1.13) gives that a necessary condition for $F \geq 0$ is

$$\chi(F; J_1, \dots, J_m)(t_1, \dots, t_m) \geq 0, \quad \text{for } t_i \geq 0$$

As kindly pointed out to us by Michel Coste, the converse to (1.13) holds replacing $\geq$ by $>$. More precisely,

(1.15) **Proposition:** Let $F \in \mathbb{R}(n, d)$. If $\chi(F)(t) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ then $F > 0$.

Proof: If $\chi(F)(t) = \Delta(F + tJ) > 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ then the family of polynomials $F + tJ$ with $t \geq 0$ has constant topological type. Then, since the set of zeros of J is equal to $\{0\}$, the same is true for $F + tJ$ for all $t \geq 0$. In particular, the set of zeros of F is $\{0\}$, so that $F > 0$ or $F < 0$. If $F < 0$ then there exists $t \geq 0$ such that $F + tJ$ has a non-trivial zero, which is a contradiction. Therefore $F > 0$, as wanted.

Now we obtain further conditions for positivity by combining the previous constructions with the operation of restriction to a linear subspace.

(1.16) Let V be a finite dimensional real vector space and denote $S^d(V^*)$ the d -th symmetric power of the dual of V , thought of as the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree d in V . As in (1.4) denote $\nabla_V \subset S^d(V^*)$ the set of singular polynomials and $\Delta_V \in S^D(S^d(V))$ the discriminant.

Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a linear subspace. For $F \in \mathbb{R}(n, d) = S^d((\mathbb{R}^n)^*)$, denote $F_V \in S^d(V^*)$ the restriction of F to V . We may now formulate a stronger necessary condition for non-negativity:

(1.17) **Proposition:** Let $F \in \mathbb{R}(n, d)$. If $F \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ then $\Delta_V(F_V) \geq 0$ for every linear

subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Also, the characteristic polynomial $\chi_V(F)(t) = \Delta_V(F_V + tJ_V)$ is ≥ 0 for $t \geq 0$

Proof: It is clear that if $F \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ then its restriction F_V is also ≥ 0 in V . Applying (1.9) in V we obtain $\Delta_V(F_V) \geq 0$, as claimed. The claim about $\chi_V(F)$ is immediate as in (1.13).

(1.18) **Example:** For $J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ let $V_J = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n / x_i = 0 \text{ for } i \notin J\}$, the J -th coordinate plane. It follows that if $F \geq 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ then

$$\Delta_{V_J}(F) \geq 0, \text{ for all } J \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$$

Notice that in case $d = 2$, these Δ_{V_J} coincide with the diagonal minors D_J of (1.2).

(1.19) **Remark:** In case $d = 2$, the conditions of (1.18) are equivalent to $F \geq 0$ (see (1.2)). For $d > 2$ this is no longer true, as it is easily seen in the case of binary forms.

§2

In this section we review a well-known sufficient condition for non-negativity of $F \in R(n, d)$ in terms of a quadratic form $h(F)$ associated to F (see [R]).

(2.1) Let V be a vector space of dimension n over a field K . The multiplication of the symmetric algebra $S(V^*)$ induces maps

$$\mu : S^m(S^d(V^*)) \rightarrow S^{md}(V^*)$$

These are homomorphisms between linear representations of $GL(V)$. They may be interpreted geometrically as the pull-back of homogeneous polynomials of degree m under the d -th Veronese map

$$\mathbb{P}V \rightarrow \mathbb{P}S^d(V)$$

sending $v \in V$ to $v^d \in S^d(V)$ (see [FH]).

Let $K = \mathbb{R}$ and fix m, d . Consider the vector space $U = S^d(V)$ and suppose $G \in S^m(U^*)$ is

non-negative (resp. positive). Then the restriction of G to the d -th Veronese variety in $\mathbb{P}U$ is clearly non-negative (resp. positive) and hence $\mu(G) \in S^{md}(V^*)$ is non-negative (resp. positive).

For $m = 2$ in particular, we have

$$\mu : S^2(S^d(V^*)) \rightarrow S^{2d}(V^*)$$

and if the quadratic form $G \in S^2(U^*)$ is ≥ 0 (resp. > 0) then the homogeneous polynomial $\mu(G) \in S^{2d}(V^*)$ is ≥ 0 (resp. > 0).

(2.2) On the other hand, suppose we have a map

$$h : S^{2d}(V^*) \rightarrow S^2(S^d(V^*))$$

such that $\mu \circ h = \text{identity}$. It follows that if the quadratic form $h(F)$ on $U = S^d(V)$ is ≥ 0 (resp. > 0) then $F = \mu(h(F)) \in S^{2d}(V^*)$ is ≥ 0 (resp. > 0). This is the sufficient condition mentioned above.

(2.3) What we shall do next is to explicitly construct such an h . It will be the well-known Hankel quadratic form $h(F)$ associated to a homogeneous polynomial $F \in S^{2d}(V^*)$ of even degree $2d$ (see [R]). Our construction will be based on the co-algebra structure of the symmetric algebra. It will follow in particular that h is linear and $GL(V)$ -equivariant. The equivariance does not seem obvious from the construction in [R], which is based on an inner product on $S^{2d}(V^*)$. Let us also remark that since $S^{2d}(V^*)$ is an irreducible representation of $GL(V)$, such an equivariant h is unique up to multiplicative constant. Thus, the construction below may be considered as another example of plethysm as in [FH].

(2.4) To start with the construction, let V be a vector space of dimension n over a field K . For each $d \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a natural map

$$V^{\otimes d} \otimes V^{*\otimes d} \rightarrow K$$

given on elementary tensors by

$$(v_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes v_d) \otimes (\varphi_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \varphi_d) \mapsto \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathbb{S}_d} \prod_{i=1}^d \langle \varphi_i, v_{\sigma(i)} \rangle$$

This map factors through the quotient and gives a map of symmetric powers

$$(\cdot, \cdot) : S^d(V) \otimes S^d(V^*) \rightarrow K$$

with similar formula for elementary tensors (monomials). This induces a linear map (called "polarization", see e. g. [D])

$$\wp : S^d(V) \rightarrow (S^d(V^*))^*$$

Let us remark that $(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\wp$ are equivariant for the natural actions of $GL(V)$.

Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ be an ordered basis of V and denote $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ the dual basis of V^* , so that $\langle x_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$. For $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| = \sum_i \alpha_i = d$, denote as usual $e^\alpha = e_1^{\alpha_1} e_2^{\alpha_2} \dots e_n^{\alpha_n} \in S^d(V)$ and $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \in S^d(V^*)$. Then $\{e^\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=d}$ (resp. $\{x^\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=d}$) is a basis of $S^d(V)$ (resp. of $S^d(V^*)$). Also, it is easy to check from the explicit formulas above that

$$(x^\beta, e^\alpha) = \frac{\alpha!}{d!} \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

where $\alpha! = \prod_i \alpha_i!$. It follows that

$$\wp(e^\alpha) \left(\frac{d!}{\beta!} x^\beta \right) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

Writing $D^\alpha = \wp(e^\alpha) \in (S^d(V^*))^*$ we have

$$D^\alpha \left(\frac{d!}{\beta!} x^\beta \right) = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

and the isomorphism $\wp$ may be written as

$$\wp \left(\sum_{|\alpha|=d} a_\alpha e^\alpha \right) = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} a_\alpha D^\alpha$$

(2.5) Now we look at the structure of co-algebra in the symmetric algebra

$$S(V) = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S^n(V)$$

We consider the multiplication map of the symmetric algebra $S(V^*)$

$$\mu : S^d(V^*) \otimes S^e(V^*) \rightarrow S^{d+e}(V^*)$$

and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S^{d+e}(V^*))^* & \xrightarrow{\mu^*} & (S^d(V^*) \otimes S^e(V^*))^* \cong (S^d(V^*))^* \otimes (S^e(V^*))^* \\ \uparrow \varphi & & \uparrow \varphi \otimes \varphi \\ S^{d+e}(V) & \xrightarrow{h} & S^d(V) \otimes S^e(V) \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are isomorphisms and h is defined so that the diagram commutes. It easily follows from the definitions that the effect of h on basis elements is

$$h(e^\gamma) = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=d \\ |\beta|=e \\ \alpha+\beta=\gamma}} c_{\alpha\beta} e^\alpha \otimes e^\beta$$

where $c_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{d!}{\alpha!} \frac{e!}{\beta!} \frac{(\alpha+\beta)!}{(d+e)!}$. In terms of the basis elements $E^\alpha = \frac{d!}{\alpha!} e^\alpha \in S^d(V)$,

$$h(E^\gamma) = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=d \\ |\beta|=e \\ \alpha+\beta=\gamma}} E^\alpha \otimes E^\beta$$

Applying this to V^* we obtain $GL(V)$ -equivariant maps

$$h : S^{d+e}(V^*) \rightarrow S^d(V^*) \otimes S^e(V^*)$$

such that for $X^\alpha = \frac{d!}{\alpha!} x^\alpha \in S^d(V^*)$

$$h(X^\gamma) = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=d \\ |\beta|=e \\ \alpha+\beta=\gamma}} X^\alpha \otimes X^\beta$$

(2.6) **Proposition:** With the notation above and any d, e , the composition

$$S^{d+e}(V^*) \xrightarrow{h} S^d(V^*) \otimes S^e(V^*) \xrightarrow{\mu} S^{d+e}(V^*)$$

is the identity.

Proof: Let us compute on basis elements x^γ as above

$$\mu(h(x^\gamma)) = \mu\left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=d \\ |\beta|=e \\ \alpha+\beta=\gamma}} c_{\alpha\beta} x^\alpha \otimes x^\beta\right) = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=d \\ |\beta|=e \\ \alpha+\beta=\gamma}} c_{\alpha\beta} x^{\alpha+\beta} = \left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=d \\ |\beta|=e \\ \alpha+\beta=\gamma}} c_{\alpha\beta}\right) x^\gamma = x^\gamma$$

The last equality amounts to

$$\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=d \\ |\beta|=e \\ \alpha+\beta=\gamma}} \frac{d!}{\alpha!} \frac{e!}{\beta!} = \frac{(d+e)!}{(\alpha+\beta)!}$$

To check this formula, let us multiply

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^d = \sum_{|\alpha|=d} \frac{d!}{\alpha!} x^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^e = \sum_{|\beta|=e} \frac{e!}{\beta!} x^\beta$$

to get

$$\sum_{|\gamma|=d+e} \frac{(d+e)!}{\gamma!} x^\gamma = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{d+e} = \sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=d \\ |\beta|=e}} \frac{d!}{\alpha!} \frac{e!}{\beta!} x^{\alpha+\beta} = \sum_{|\gamma|=d+e} \left(\sum_{\substack{|\alpha|=d \\ |\beta|=e \\ \alpha+\beta=\gamma}} \frac{d!}{\alpha!} \frac{e!}{\beta!}\right) x^\gamma$$

Equating coefficients of x^γ we obtain the claim.

Now we specialize (2.6) to the case $d = e$. Since the multiplication of the symmetric algebra is commutative, by restriction we obtain $GL(V)$ -equivariant maps, still denoted μ and h

$$S^{2d}(V^*) \xrightarrow{h} S^2(S^d(V^*)) \xrightarrow{\mu} S^{2d}(V^*)$$

given as in (2.5) and satisfying $\mu \circ h = \text{identity}$.

This is the desired explicit definition of h as in (2.2). Hence, we obtain the sufficient condition: if the quadratic form $h(F)$ is positive (resp. non-negative) then $F \in S^{2d}(V^*)$ is positive (resp. non-negative).

REFERENCES

- [De] M. Demazure, *Les notes informelles de calcul formel, Hermite deja ...*, www.medicis.polytechnique.fr/gage/notes/1984-1994.html.
- [Do] A. Dold, *Lectures on algebraic topology*, Springer (1980).
- [D] I. Dolgachev, *Lectures on invariant theory*, <http://www.math.lsa.umich.edu/~idolga/>.
- [FH] W. Fulton and J. Harris, *Representation Theory, a First Course*, Springer (1991).
- [Ga] F. R. Gantmacher, *Matrix Theory*, AMS-Chelsea.
- [GKZ] I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov and A. Zelevinsky, *Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants*, Birkhauser (1994).
- [Go] R. Godement, *Topologie algebrique et theorie des faisceaux*, Hermann (1964).
- [P] C. Procesi, *Positive symmetric functions*, *Advances in Mathematics* **29** (1978), 219-225.
- [R] B. Reznick, *Sums of even powers of real linear forms*, *Memoirs of the AMS* **463** (1992).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, (1428) BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA
E-mail address: `fcukier@dm.uba.ar`